



17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the first year, research students will be required to follow a prescribed programme of units which will be taught in the University. Research students who complete these units and pass the required examinations at an appropriate standard at the end of the first year will be permitted to proceed to the second year of the programme. This may also lead to the award of MA, MSc or MRes as defined in the relevant academic regulations in Section VI-XIII of the University Calendar. Research students who fail to reach the required standard will be permitted to resit the first year units on one occasion only. In the event of failure to achieve the required standard at resit, their programme will be terminated, and such research students will be considered by the Faculty for transfer to a relevant diploma or master's level programme for the award of a Diploma or Master's Degree, as appropriate.</li> <li>• In the second and third years, research students will complete prescribed units, supervised research, and skills training sessions leading to the preparation of a thesis.</li> <li>• The research student's fourth year will be devoted to supervised research and preparation of a thesis.</li> </ul>	<p>Candidates who complete these units and pass the required examinations at an appropriate standard at the end of the first year will be permitted to proceed to the second year of the programme. This will lead to the award of Master of Research (MRes).</p> <p>Candidates must normally attend at least 80% of all taught components and all laboratory rotations in the first year to be eligible for consideration for progression to year 2.</p> <p>Progression to year 2 will be dependent on successful completion of year 1 and satisfactory completion of progress reviews which will be held at three time points during year 1. The candidates have to pass one of the reviews held after rotations A and B, along with a pass at rotation C. If performance in the progress reviews is unsatisfactory and if, after due warning, there is insufficient improvement, the Faculty may recommend termination of candidature to Senate at the end of year 1 independently of whether or not they are awarded an MRes.</p> <p>In the second and third and fourth years candidates complete a programme of supervised research and skills training sessions leading to the preparation of a thesis.</p>
-----	---	---

Existing University regulation <a href="#"><i>Progression, Determination and Classification of Results: Postgraduate Master's Programmes</i></a>	Approved Variation
5.1 A student who fails a taught Module, after the application of Compensation, may take Referral assessments in failed taught Modules totalling at most 15 ECTS (30 CATS) Credits, subject to the following exemptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. For programmes with a minimal Module size of 10 ECTS (20 CATS) Credits, a student who fails a taught Module, after the application of Compensation, may take Referral assessments in failed taught modules totalling at most 20 ECTS (40 CATS) Credits</li> <li>ii. For programmes where all Modules are Core and where it is specified in the Programme Regulations in the University Calendar, the student may take Referral assessments in failed taught Modules totalling at most 30 ECTS (60 CATS)</li> </ul>	A student who fails to progress under Sections 3 and 4 may take Referral assessments in all failed modules, as per paragraph 17 of the <a href="#"><u>Regulations for Research Degrees</u></a> .

Existing University regulation <u><a href="#">Code of Practice for Research Candidature and Supervision</a></u>	Approved Variation															
<p>64. Students who enrolled on their doctoral level studies after 1 August 2016 are required to undertake three Progression Reviews during the course of their studies. The second Progression Review is known as Confirmation (paragraphs 70-79 below). Two attempts at each review are permitted; failure to meet the criteria for a successful progression review will lead to a termination of a student's candidature in line with the <u><a href="#">Procedures for Circumstances that may lead to Withdrawal or Termination</a></u>.</p> <p>Students who enrolled on their doctoral studies before 1 August 2016 will follow the Progression Monitoring timings and procedures as determined by their Faculty which applied at the time of their admission. Students should refer back to their Faculty for further information. Students who enrolled before 1 August 2016 will also follow the timings for upgrade/transfer from MPhil to PhD registration that applied at the time of their admission. Paragraph 64 provides a summary of these timings depending on year of entry. The policy and procedure outlined in paragraphs 71-79 'Confirmation of PhD status', will apply to students who registered prior to 1 August 2016 when completing their upgrade/transfer from MPhil to PhD registration, rather than a confirmation of PhD status.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="236 1279 817 1552"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Summary of timings of confirmation of PhD registration/Upgrade from MPhil to PhD*</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Time of Entry</th> <th>Full-time</th> <th>Part-time</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>After 1st August 2016</td> <td>18 - 21 months</td> <td>30 - 42 months</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1<sup>st</sup> August 2015 - 1<sup>st</sup> August 2016</td> <td>18 - 21 months</td> <td>30 - 42 months</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Before 1<sup>st</sup> August 2015</td> <td>At least 6 months before final thesis submission</td> <td>At least 6 months before final thesis sub-mission</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*These timings may be adjusted on a pro-rata basis for students registered on non-standard research programmes where other duties are a formal part of the programme; for example, the Clinical Doctorate Research Fellowship scheme or the Mayflower Scholarship scheme.</p> <p>Faculties/the Accredited Institution will bring to the attention of research students, and relevant staff, clearly defined formats of assessment which inform the Progression Reviews, including the criteria to be used for defining outcomes from Progression Reviews. As a minimum, research students must submit a written report which should</p>	Summary of timings of confirmation of PhD registration/Upgrade from MPhil to PhD*			Time of Entry	Full-time	Part-time	After 1st August 2016	18 - 21 months	30 - 42 months	1 <sup>st</sup> August 2015 - 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2016	18 - 21 months	30 - 42 months	Before 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2015	At least 6 months before final thesis submission	At least 6 months before final thesis sub-mission	
Summary of timings of confirmation of PhD registration/Upgrade from MPhil to PhD*																
Time of Entry	Full-time	Part-time														
After 1st August 2016	18 - 21 months	30 - 42 months														
1 <sup>st</sup> August 2015 - 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2016	18 - 21 months	30 - 42 months														
Before 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2015	At least 6 months before final thesis submission	At least 6 months before final thesis sub-mission														

summarise progress made since the last report. Any particular problems encountered by the research student, (e.g. access to resources or facilities or other additional disability-related or language support requirements) should be indicated in this report and appropriate action taken. The report should also indicate whether any additional support requirements or facilities already being provided for a particular research student are continuing to meet that research student's needs, or if any adjustments for the coming period are required.

The Review must also include a *viva*. Following a Progression Review, a student will be given written feedback by the panel and, if necessary, guidance on actions to be taken to support progress in their candidature.

Decisions following Progression Reviews will be made according to the following timings for students on a standard research programme. In all cases, the time windows refer to periods in which progression decisions must be made. Students will be required to provide all the relevant material by a submission deadline stated in PGR Tracker, or equivalent system, as set by their faculty/discipline. This will normally be at least four working weeks in advance of the decision deadline to allow the panel to consider the material, hold meeting, and make a recommendation within the specified timeframe. Timings refer to the full month i.e. the decision from the first attempt at the first Progression Review should be made between the beginning of month 8 and the end of month 10. These timings may be adjusted for students following a non-standard pathway.

	Full-time		Part-time	
	First Attempt	Second Attempt	First Attempt	Second Attempt
First Progression Review	Month 8 - 10	Before the end of month 12	Month 18 - 21	Before the end of month 24
Second Progression Review Confirmation	Month 18 - 21	Before the end of month 24	Month 30 - 42	Before the end of month 48
Third Progression Review	Month 30 - 33	Before the end of month 36	Month 61 - 66	Before the end of month 72

	Full-time			
	Student Submission	First Attempt Decision	Student Submission Second Attempt	Second Attempt Decision
Year 1 Taught Modules				
First Progression Review	By month 18	By month 20	By month 22	Before end of month 24
Second Progression Review Confirmation	By month 27	By month 30	By month 33	Before end of month 36
Third Progression Review	By month 39	By month 41	By month 43	Before end of month 45

**Additional requirements:**

The clause(s) listed below are in addition to the Regulations:

*Years 2-4 of this programme may be subject to DBS requirements, see clause 4 of the [Procedures for Handling Applications for Students with Previous Criminal Convictions](#).*

**These regulations should be read in conjunction with the programme specification.**

**Disclaimer:**

As a research-led University, we undertake a continuous review of our programmes to ensure quality enhancement and to manage our resources. As a result, these regulations may be revised during a student's period of registration, however, any revision will be balanced against the requirement that the student should receive the educational service expected. Please read our [Disclaimer](#) to see why, when and how changes may be made to a student's programme.